

STATE COASTAL CONSERVANCY

PROPOSITION 1 PROPOSAL SOLICITATION



Grants Funded by the Water Quality, Supply,
and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014

Applications due July 31, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
A.	State Coastal Conservancy’s Proposition 1 Grants.....	1
B.	Solicitation Schedule.....	1
C.	Grant Application	1
II.	Solicitation Priorities	2
A.	Water Sustainability.....	2
B.	Protect and Enhance Anadromous Fish Habitat.....	3
C.	Wetland Restoration.....	3
D.	Urban Greening.....	4
E.	Priority for Projects that Benefit Disadvantaged Communities	5
F.	Projects that Promote and Implement State Plans and Policies.....	6
G.	Projects with Matching Funds	6
III.	Eligibility and Required Criteria	6
A.	Eligible Grantees	6
B.	Project Eligibility	6
C.	Coastal Conservancy Jurisdiction.....	7
D.	Conservancy Required Project Selection Criteria.....	7
E.	Environmental Documents and Permits.....	8
F.	Project Monitoring and Reporting.....	8
IV.	Grant Application Process and Timeline	8
A.	Project Solicitation Period	8
B.	Pre-Proposal Consultation	9
C.	Grant Application.....	9
D.	Application Review Period.....	12
E.	Grant Awards	12
V.	Application Evaluation and Scoring	12
A.	Application Screening	12
B.	Scoring.....	13
C.	Evaluation Scoring Criteria:.....	13
VI.	Additional Information	15

A.	Available Funding.....	15
B.	Additional Project Considerations	15
C.	Typical Grant Agreement Terms.....	15

I. Introduction

A. State Coastal Conservancy's Southern California Proposition 1 Grants

The State Coastal Conservancy (“Conservancy”) is a state agency, established in 1976, to work proactively with local communities to implement multi-benefit projects that protect and enhance coastal resources. The Conservancy works along the entire length of California’s coast, within the watersheds of rivers and streams that extend inland from the coast, and throughout the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area.

The Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014 (“Proposition 1”) was approved by voters in November 2014. Proposition 1 is codified as Division 26.7 of the Water Code. The purposes of Proposition 1 include generating funding to address water quality, water supply and watershed protection and restoration. Chapter 6 of Proposition 1 allocates \$100.5 million to the Conservancy for competitive grants for multi-benefit ecosystem and watershed protection and restoration projects, Water Code Section 79731(j).

The Conservancy has four priorities for Proposition 1 grants. The four priority project types include: water sustainability improvements, anadromous fish habitat enhancement, wetland restoration and urban greening. The Conservancy’s Proposition 1 program priorities, grant application processes, and scoring criteria are further explained in our [Proposition 1 Grant Program Guidelines](#) (2016) (click [here](#) for the link).

This solicitation seeks to fund projects in Central California (San Mateo, Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara counties) and Southern California (Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange and San Diego counties). **The Conservancy expects to have about \$3 million in funding available for Central California and \$2 million in funding available for Southern California.** There are no minimum or maximum award amounts, though most funded projects are typically between \$50,000 and \$1,000,000.

B. Solicitation Schedule

The Conservancy will have two Proposition 1 solicitations in 2020. A solicitation for North Coast projects which closed on April 30, and the current solicitation. The schedule for the current solicitation is as follows:

Solicitation Released	May 4, 2020
Webinar	May 21, 2020, 2 pm
Proposals due	July 31, 2020
Evaluation	August – October 2020
Earliest Potential Board Meeting*	January 2021

If you want to attend the informational webinar, please register at this [link](#):

* All Proposition 1 grants will be awarded at a Coastal Conservancy board meeting. The specific meeting when a grant will be considered will depend on project readiness and staff capacity. The soonest a grant could be awarded from this round will be January 2021.

C. Grant Application

All of these materials required for the Proposition 1 Grant Application are posted on the Conservancy's [webpage](http://scc.ca.gov/grants/proposition-1-grants/) (<http://scc.ca.gov/grants/proposition-1-grants/>). This includes the [grant application](#) document, a [Conservation Corps Consultation Review document](#), and the [Nonprofit Organization Pre-Award Questionnaire](#), which must be submitted by nonprofit organization applicants.

II. Solicitation Priorities

Every five years, the Conservancy adopts a [Strategic Plan](#) (click [here](#) for the link), which identifies the Conservancy's goals and specific objectives. The current Strategic Plan was adopted in 2018 to guide the Conservancy's work through 2022.

Based on the priority issues within our jurisdiction, reviewing existing state plans, and screening for projects that achieve multiple benefits, serve disadvantaged communities, and result in quantifiable outcomes, the Conservancy identified four priorities for Proposition 1 expenditures:

- Water Sustainability
- Protect and Enhance Anadromous Fish Habitat
- Wetland Restoration
- Urban Greening

In addition, priority is given to projects that serve disadvantaged communities and projects that further State and local plans. These priorities are further discussed below.

A. Water Sustainability

Advance the sustainable use and management of water in coastal watersheds in order to achieve conservation benefits, improve ecosystem health, and increase climate resiliency.

Many coastal areas rely heavily on local water supplies, including groundwater and local storage. The Conservancy will seek to implement projects that improve water use and management to achieve multiple objectives: increasing water supply reliability while decreasing impacts to aquatic and riparian habitats, improving both ecosystem and water supply resiliency to impacts of climate change, protecting summer flows for salmonids and other aquatic species,

increasing groundwater recharge, decreasing flood flows, and reducing polluted runoff. Multi-benefit water sustainability projects could include: floodplain restoration, implementation of agricultural best management practices to improve water quality, rainwater capture, groundwater recharge, off stream storage, irrigation improvement, and watershed land conservation.

Coastal agriculture is an important economic driver in some regions and the Conservancy is charged with implementing a program of agricultural protection. Conflicts can arise between water use for agriculture and natural resource protection. Agricultural water use may deplete flows in coastal streams and agricultural practices may impact water quality thereby degrading habitat for salmon, steelhead and other aquatic and riparian species. However, there are many opportunities to lessen the conflicts between “fish and farms” by implementing multi-benefit water storage, groundwater recharge, irrigation improvement projects and other best management practices. These solutions will help farmers prepare for climate change by providing greater certainty about their water supply, while also improving conditions in coastal streams and rivers. Some of these projects can help slow seawater intrusion into coastal aquifers.

Water sustainability projects are consistent with the purposes of Chapter 6 of Proposition 1. They will implement watershed adaptation projects to reduce the impacts of climate change, protect and restore coastal watersheds, assist in the recovery of listed species, and improve water related agricultural sustainability projects. These projects implement Actions #1, 2 and 6 of the California Water Action Plan and several actions in the Safeguarding California Plan. By protecting habitat, supporting coastal agriculture and preparing for climate change impacts; these projects advance the Coastal Conservancy’s Strategic Plan Goals 5, 6, 7, 11 and 13.

B. Protect and Enhance Anadromous Fish Habitat

Restore habitat, including flow needed for achieving the health of anadromous fish populations.

Coastal salmon and steelhead are important to coastal ecosystem health. These fish provide an important food source, are culturally important to tribes, and an important part of the local economy in some coastal areas. The Conservancy will support projects that protect important watershed lands, remove high priority fish passage barriers, restore riparian, off channel or estuarine habitat, and secure instream flows with appropriate volume and temperature to support anadromous fish populations. These projects will increase available habitat and increase resilience of these populations to the potential impacts of climate change.

The Conservancy has supported many efforts to identify priority projects and to implement restoration projects to restore anadromous fish habitat. Removing barriers to spawning grounds is one of the simpler steps that can be taken to increase available habitat. Prioritization of barrier removal projects will be informed by the California Fish Passage Forum and, in the San Francisco Bay Area, by the San Francisco Estuary Watersheds Evaluation. The Conservancy

has coordinated and will continue to coordinate with the National Marine Fisheries Service and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife on identifying priorities.

Removal of fish barriers and restoration of fish habitat are specific purposes identified in Chapter 6 of Proposition 1. These projects will implement Action #4 in the California Water Action Plan - protect and restore important ecosystems. Consistent with the Safeguarding Plan, these projects will protect and restore water resources for important ecosystems. These projects advance the Conservancy's Strategic Plan Goals #5 and #11 by enhancing habitats, natural resources and watersheds.

C. Wetland Restoration

Enhance wetlands and subtidal habitats to restore ecosystem function and provide multi-benefit flood protection, resilient shorelines and other ecosystem benefits.

The Conservancy has been a leader in planning and implementing coastal wetland restoration around the state for the past several decades. Proposition 1 funding will help continue this leadership, implementing tidal wetlands restoration, managed pond enhancement, eelgrass and oyster restoration, and construction of gently-sloping levees to protect shoreline communities while also providing transitional habitat. The Conservancy's wetland restoration projects will seek to restore wetland habitat function across a range of wetland habitats types, from subtidal areas to upland transition areas, including non-tidal wetlands. The Conservancy will prioritize multi-benefit projects that increase community and agricultural resilience to sea level rise and storm events, provide wildlife habitat, improve water quality, and restore ecological function.

The San Francisco Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals Science Update, the San Francisco Bay Subtidal Habitat Goals Report, the San Francisco Bay Joint Venture's project list, the Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project Regional Strategy, and other science based state and regional plans will inform the prioritization of these projects.

Wetland and subtidal habitat enhancement projects and multi-benefit flood protection projects implement Action #4 of the California Water Action Plan- protect and restore important ecosystems. Consistent with the Safeguarding Plan, these projects will protect and restore water resources for important ecosystems; promote nature-based solutions for adapting to climate change, and support cost effective green infrastructure. These projects advance the Conservancy's Strategic Plan Goals #5, #7 and #11 by enhancing habitats and helping prepare for climate change impacts.

D. Urban Greening

Build urban greening projects that increase groundwater recharge, reduce runoff, improve water quality and improve urban watershed health while creating public green-space and expanding urban forests.

In many urban areas, there are opportunities to create greener, more environmentally sustainable and livable communities by creating new parks, improving existing parks and green spaces, and planting trees. If designed correctly, these projects can infiltrate stormwater, improve groundwater recharge, and improve water quality. Projects may also provide additional benefits such as reducing urban heat island effects, improving air quality, increasing walkability and increasing neighborhood safety.

The Conservancy has helped plan and construct several multi-benefit urban greening projects in both the Bay Area and Southern California including projects in Compton, Richmond, and Los Angeles. In Compton, the Conservancy worked with the City, the School District, and the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority to develop a park on the site of an elementary school yard that served both the community and the school, and was designed to capture rainwater that could be used for on-site irrigation. Landscaped largely with native species, it is a multi-purpose, multi-benefit project that demonstrates the effectiveness of this kind of investment. With Proposition 1 funds, the Conservancy will implement more projects of this type that incorporate many green infrastructure elements, including water retention and storage, and shade trees for heat relief.

Urban greening projects advance several of the purposes of Chapter 6, including implementing watershed adaptation projects to reduce the impacts of climate change on communities, protecting urban watershed health and implementing urban river greenways. These projects implement Actions #2 and #6 of the California Water Action Plan by increasing integrated water management across all levels of government and increasing groundwater storage. The health section of the Safeguarding California Plan specifically identifies urban greening as a strategy to reduce the impacts of extreme heat events, and urban greening projects implement Goals 2, 5, 7 and 11 of the Conservancy's Strategic Plan.

E. Priority for Projects that Benefit Disadvantaged Communities

Proposition 1 defines a disadvantaged community as “a community with an annual median household income that is less than 80 percent of the statewide annual median household income.” (CA Water Code Section 79505.5.) Chapter 6 of Proposition 1 does not require that a specific portion of funding go to disadvantaged communities. However, the Conservancy will strive to ensure that a significant portion of its Proposition 1 funding benefit these communities.

The Department of Water Resources has developed an online [map viewer](#) (linked [here](#)) which enables the public to see the boundaries of the disadvantaged communities, based on census data including the American Community Survey. Communities are defined at different geographic scales, including county, census tract and census place.

The Conservancy encourages applicants to practice meaningful community engagement in project development to ensure that projects are aligned with the needs and opportunities in

disadvantaged communities. A link to the Conservancy's tips for meaningful community engagement can be found [here](#).

F. Projects that Promote and Implement State Plans and Policies

Priority will be given to projects that implement state plans and policies. The Conservancy's project selection criteria require that all Conservancy projects be consistent with statewide plans and priorities, see Section III.D below. Proposition 1 requires that projects be consistent with the goals identified in the California Water Action Plan. Links to [key plans](#) can be found at this [page](#) on the Conservancy's website.

III. Eligibility and Required Criteria

A. Eligible Grantees

Eligible applicants for Proposition 1 grant funding from the Conservancy are:

- Public agencies as defined in Proposition 1: any state agency or department, special district, joint powers authority, city, county, city and county, or other political subdivision of the state.
- Any private, nonprofit organization that qualifies under Section 501(c) (3) of the United States Internal Revenue Code.
- Indian Tribes that are either federally recognized or listed on the Native Heritage Commission's California Tribal Consultation List.
- Public utilities and mutual water companies; projects proposed by this type of applicant must have a clear and definite public purpose and must benefit the customers of the water system and not the investors. Additional eligibility requirements apply to any eligible grantee that is also an urban water supplier or an agricultural water supplier, as set forth in Proposition 1, Water Code Section 79712(b).

B. Project Eligibility

To be eligible for this round of Proposition 1 funding, projects must advance at least one of the purposes of Chapter 6 of Proposition 1. Proposition 1 funds must be spent consistent with the General Obligation Bond Law, Government Code Section 16727. In general, this means projects must entail the construction or acquisition of capital assets and/or activities that are incidentally but directly related to construction or acquisition, such as planning, design and engineering.

Proposition 1 contains additional provisions that may make some projects ineligible, these include:

- All projects funded by Proposition 1 must be consistent with the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Division 7 of the Water Code) and the State's [five-year infrastructure plan](#) prepared pursuant to Government Code section 13100.

- Proposition 1 cannot be used to fund acquisitions of land by eminent domain. Water Code Section 79711(g).
- Proposition 1 funds may only be used for projects that will provide benefits or improvements that are greater than required applicable environmental mitigation measures or compliance obligations.
- Land acquisition projects, including acquisition of conservation easements, are eligible if they are consistent with the purposes of Chapter 6 of Proposition 1.
- Proposition 1 funds are appropriated to the Conservancy with a maximum of five years for expenditure. Projects must be ready to start work and able to be completed within a maximum of five years.

C. Coastal Conservancy Jurisdiction

The Conservancy supports projects within coastal draining watersheds and in the entirety of the nine-county Bay Area region. For this specific grant solicitation projects must fall within the Conservancy's Central Coast or South Coast regions ([see the map here](#)). This includes coastal draining watersheds from San Mateo through San Diego counties. Although the Conservancy has specific authority to work in the Santa Ana River watershed to implement the Santa Ana River Conservancy Program, the current solicitation does not include the jurisdiction of the Santa Ana River Conservancy Program.

D. Conservancy Required Project Selection Criteria

The Conservancy has adopted [Project Selection Criteria](#), last updated in October 2014, which set forth the evaluation criteria that the Conservancy uses for all of its grant programs. To be eligible for Conservancy funding, a project must meet the Conservancy's required project selection criteria:

- **Promotion of the Conservancy's statutory programs and purposes** (Division 21 of the Public Resources Code);
- **Consistency with purposes of the funding source;**
- **Promotion and implementation of state plans and policies** (specific plans and policies and the specific goals or objectives within those plans and policies that would be furthered by the project);
- **Support from the public;**
- **Location** (must benefit coastal, ocean resources, or the San Francisco Bay region);
- **Need** (desired project or result will not occur without Conservancy participation);
- **Greater-than-local interest;**
- **Sea level rise vulnerability.** (Consistent with Executive Order S-13-08, for new projects located in areas vulnerable to future sea level rise, planning shall consider a range of sea level rise scenarios in order to assess project vulnerability and, to the extent feasible, reduce expected risks and increase resiliency to sea level rise.)

E. Environmental Documents and Permits

The Conservancy is required to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Grant applicants should consider whether their proposed project will trigger the need for an environmental impact report or negative declaration or whether a CEQA exemption applies. How CEQA applies and the status of CEQA compliance must be addressed in the grant application.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to use expedited or simplified permitting approaches where available and applicable.

F. Project Monitoring and Reporting

All grant applications must include a monitoring and reporting component that explains how the effectiveness of the project will be measured and reported. The monitoring and reporting component will vary depending on the nature of the project. If the project involves development of a CEQA document the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program may be submitted for consideration as the approved project monitoring and reporting template. The grant application evaluation will assess the robustness of the proposed monitoring program. In addition, Conservancy staff will work with grantees to develop appropriate monitoring and reporting templates and procedures. For wetland and watershed restoration and protection projects, applicants will be required to conduct a baseline report utilizing the California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) within the year prior to the beginning of project construction, unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by the Conservancy and the grantee. (More information is available at <http://www.cramwetlands.org/>). Applicants will also be required to provide a plan for Completion of Post-Construction CRAM Assessment, including a budget and timeline for the collection of at least one additional CRAM assessment following construction of the project and prior to the completion date of the grant agreement in order to document the change in wetland condition at the project site. Costs associated with CRAM assessment should be included in the proposed project budget.

IV. Grant Application Process and Timeline

A. Project Solicitation Period

The Proposal Solicitation period for this round of funding will be from May 4, 2020. Grant applications must be submitted during the solicitation period.

Grant applications must be received by July 31, 2020.

The Conservancy will hold one informational webinar on April 21 at 2 pm. If you want to attend the informational webinar, please register at this link: [REGISTER FOR WEBINAR](#)

B. Pre-Proposal Consultation and Application Assistance

Applicants are encouraged to consult with Conservancy staff during the project solicitation period, prior to submitting their applications. Pre-proposal consultation and application assistance will be available to any potential applicant but is not required. Conservancy staff will be available to discuss projects and application-related questions, they will not be able to review full proposals before they are submitted.

If you have questions on your Prop. 1 project proposal and would like feedback, please email a short description of your project (1-2 paragraphs including specific location information) and your questions to the applicable regional managers:

Central Coast (San Mateo (coastside only), Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara counties): Trish Chapman, trish.chapman@scc.ca.gov

South Coast (Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego counties): Megan Cooper, megan.cooper@scc.ca.gov.

A request must be submitted, in order to receive application assistance.

C. Grant Application

The grant application form and instructions for completing it are posted on the Conservancy's [website at this link](#). Completed applications should be emailed to grants@scc.ca.gov. If you are unable to email your application, you may send the electronic files on a common electronic storage device. Mail the files to: State Coastal Conservancy – 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1000 - Oakland, CA 94612.

Please do not include letters of support with your grant application.

All information that you submit is subject to the unqualified and unconditional right of the Conservancy to use, reproduce, publish, or display, free of charge. Please indicate if crediting is requested for any of the photos and/or maps.

A complete application will consist of the following files/documents:

- [Grant Application](#), including
 - Grant Application Cover Sheet
 - Answers to all application questions (in Microsoft word or rtf format)
 - Project maps and design plans and photos (in one pdf file, 15 MB maximum size)
- For restoration and ecosystem protection projects, applicants must submit a completed [Conservation Corps Consultation Review Form](#)
- For Nonprofit Organizations, please submit completed [Nonprofit Organization Pre-Award Questionnaire](#).

The application cover sheet requires the latitude and longitude of the project. This is easily obtained by opening Google Maps and right clicking on the project location. The cover sheet also asks whether the project is located in a Disadvantaged Community. This information can be obtained from [DWR's mapping site](#).

The application requires the following additional information:

A. Project Scope – Provide a clear, detailed description of the project proposed for Conservancy funding. The project description should include the following sections:

1. **Need for the project.** Describe the specific problems, issues, or unserved needs the project will address.
2. **Goals and objectives.** The goals and objectives should clearly define the expected outcomes and benefits of the project.
3. **Project Description.** A brief description of what the project will accomplish and the specific work that will be done.
4. **Future Phases.** For planning projects or other projects where future phases are critical to project success, explain the strategy for funding and implementing the future construction phase(s).
5. **Site Description.** Describe the project site or area, including site characteristics that are tied to your project objectives (i.e.: for acquisition of habitat, describe current vegetation assemblages, condition of habitats, known wildlife migration corridors, etc.). When relevant, include ownership and management information.
6. **Specific Tasks.** Identify the specific tasks that will be undertaken and the work that will be accomplished for each task.
7. **Work Products.** List the specific work products or other deliverables that the project will result in.
8. **Measuring Success.** For projects involving restoration, enhancement, construction or land acquisition, describe the plan for monitoring, evaluating and reporting project effectiveness, and implementing adaptive management strategies if necessary. Who will be responsible for funding and implementing ongoing management and monitoring?

Planning projects should include discussion of how success will be measured. Development of a monitoring program should be included as part of the project planning, including preliminary identification of what would be monitored to assess success of the future project and how monitoring will be implemented. Planning projects should also include identification of how to measure success of the plan, such as:

- Commitment of an important partner to participate

- Securing funds for construction
- Securing permits
- Resolution of conflict issues

9. **Project Maps and Graphics.** Provide the following project graphics with your application. Project maps and design plans should be combined into one pdf file with a maximum size of 10MB. Project photos should be provided in jpg format.

- **Regional Map** -- Clearly identify the project's location in relation to prominent area features and significant natural and recreational resources, including regional trails and protected lands.
- **Site-scale map** – Show the location of project elements in relation to natural and man-made features on-site or nearby. Any key features discussed in project description should be shown.
- **Design Plan** – Construction projects should include one or more design drawings or graphics indicating the intended site improvements.
- **Site Photos** – One or more clear photos of the project site.

10. **Permits.** List of required permits and their status.

B. Preliminary Budget and Schedule – The preliminary budget and schedule must include a line item for every task identified in the project description. For each task, applicants should provide the estimated completion date, estimated total cost of the task, amount requested from the Conservancy, and amount that will be provided by other funding sources. The task list in the Preliminary Budget and Schedule must match the task list provided in the project description. Please indicate the source of matching funds included in the budget. In the Budget Justification, explain the rationale for the task budget. This section can include the source of cost estimates, any unusual budget items, and/or a summary of costs used to calculate the estimated task budget. Please see additional guidance in the Grant Application document.

C. Proposition 1 Additional Information – These questions are for applicants for Proposition 1 funding to help reviewers determine how well the application meets the specific Proposition 1 evaluation criteria.

D. Conservation Corps Consultation - For restoration and ecosystem protection projects, Proposition 1 requires that the grantee use state and local conservation corps services if feasible. Grantees must submit a completed [Corps Consultation Review Form](#).

E. Nonprofit Organization Pre-Award Questionnaire - For Nonprofit Organizations, please submit completed [Nonprofit Organization Pre-Award Questionnaire](https://scc.ca.gov/files/2019/07/SCC_Grant_Appl-NPO_PreAwardQuestionnaire.pdf) (https://scc.ca.gov/files/2019/07/SCC_Grant_Appl-NPO_PreAwardQuestionnaire.pdf). The Conservancy requires nonprofit grantees to submit this questionnaire to help flag any potential issues with accounting and record keeping before the grantee begins

work. Technical assistance may be available to help grantees meet all of the state's accounting requirements. If your organization submitted this questionnaire to the Conservancy in the past 24 months, you can skip this step, please just tell us the date it was last submitted.

G. Application Review Period

The Conservancy expects that it will take an average of six months from application submittal to Conservancy board approval and at least one additional month for execution of the grant agreement.

H. Grant Awards

Grants will be awarded once a project is approved by the Coastal Conservancy Board at a public meeting. Conservancy staff will determine which qualified applications to recommend to the Conservancy Board for funding and the amount of funding, taking into account: the project's score relative to other eligible projects, the total amount of funding available for Proposition 1 projects, the urgency of the project relative to other eligible projects, the Conservancy's Strategic Plan, and the application of the Conservancy's Required and Additional Project Selection Criteria.

The Conservancy typically holds five public meetings per calendar year. Each year's meeting schedule is published on the Conservancy's website. The agenda for each public meeting will be published on the Conservancy's website ten days in advance of the meeting. Conservancy staff will prepare a report for each proposed grant presented to the Conservancy Board at a public meeting. The staff report will describe the project and explain how the project is consistent with the Conservancy's enabling legislation, the Conservancy Program Guidelines, the Conservancy's Strategic Plan and the evaluation criteria in these Proposition 1 Grant Program Guidelines.

V. Application Evaluation and Scoring

A. Application Screening

Grant applications will be initially reviewed for completeness. Incomplete grant applications will be returned to the applicant. Grantees may choose to complete the application and resubmit. Conservancy staff will screen complete grant applications to ensure that:

- The project meets at least one of the Chapter 6 Purposes of Proposition 1;
- The project is consistent with the Conservancy's [Strategic Plan](#) and required [project selection criteria](#);
- The project consists of work that is eligible for bond funds under the General Obligation Bond Law;
- The grantee is an eligible entity;

- For restoration and ecosystem protection projects, the grantee has consulted with the state and local conservation corps and included their services if feasible. Grantees must submit a completed [Corps Consultation Review Document](#).

Applications that do not pass the screening process will not proceed to the scoring process. The Conservancy has discretion to either return the application or assist the applicant with gathering additional information and modifying the proposal to enable the application to pass the screening process.

B. Scoring

Complete grant applications that have passed the screening process will be evaluated and scored using the Evaluation Scoring Criteria set forth below. An application must achieve an average score of 75 or better to qualify for a grant.

Complete applications that have passed the screening process will be reviewed and scored by a minimum of three professionals with relevant expertise. Reviewers may include state and federal agency staff and others with relevant expertise, including consultants and academics. All reviewers other than SCC staff will be required to document that they do not have a conflict of interest in reviewing any proposals. If there is a significant discrepancy in the scoring by the three reviewers, additional reviewers may score the proposal. The average score will be the average of all reviews.

C. Evaluation Scoring Criteria:

Criteria	Points
The extent to which the project achieves one or more of the purposes of Chapter 6 of Proposition 1. <i>To receive the maximum points, the proposal must demonstrate outstanding achievement of one purpose or very good achievement of multiple purposes. The purposes are listed in Chapter 6 of Proposition 1.</i>	15
The extent to which the application includes a complete, reasonable and well thought out proposed scope of work, budget and schedule.	15
The extent to which the project provides multiple benefits. <i>Multiple benefits include (but are not limited to) the following:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restores or protects ecosystem processes • Increases resiliency to climate change • Benefits State or Federally listed species • Increases water sustainability by reducing demand, increasing supply, or other methods • Provides educational opportunities 	10
The extent to which the project benefits a disadvantaged community as defined in the Water Code § 79702(j).	8

Criteria	Points
<p><i>To receive the maximum points, the proposal must be located in and provide tangible benefits to a disadvantaged community. Scoring considerations include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Is the project located in a disadvantaged community?</i> • <i>Will the project directly benefit a disadvantaged community through employment, job training, education, improvement to quality of life, capacity building, other?</i> 	
<p>The extent to which the project promotes and implements the Conservancy's Strategic Plan, the California Water Action Plan, other state plans and policies, and relevant regional water plans. <i>Applicants are encouraged to list specific priorities from the Conservancy's Strategic Plan and other state plans.</i></p>	8
<p>The extent to which the applicant demonstrates experience successfully implementing similar projects or demonstrates appropriate and necessary partnerships to complete the project.</p>	8
<p>The extent to which the applicant demonstrates that project goals have community support.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Does the proposal show that there has been meaningful and appropriate community outreach or engagement?</i> • <i>Does the proposal have the participation or direct engagement from the community (as participants in the project, on a working group, etc.)?</i> • <i>Community is not limited to neighbors or local area. It could also mean the community of wetland restoration stakeholders, support from other entities, etc.</i> 	8
<p>Whether the project is consistent with best available science. <i>Examples could include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Project employs best management practices as identified by a credible organization</i> • <i>Project is based on existing planning document prepared with input from relevant technical experts or project was developed with input from relevant technical experts</i> • <i>Applicant has consulted with relevant State and Federal agencies</i> • <i>Scientific assumptions or underpinnings of project are explained in proposal</i> • <i>Plan will incorporate input from relevant technical experts</i> • <i>Scientific methodology is summarized in proposal</i> 	8
<p>The extent to which the project leverages the resources of private, federal or local funding sources. Projects that have at least 25% matching funds will receive 3 points. Projects with greater than 50% matching funds will receive 5 points.</p>	5
<p>The extent to which the applicant demonstrates a clear and reasonable method for measuring and reporting the effectiveness of the project.</p>	5

Criteria	Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Proposal clearly explains what will be measured (metrics) to demonstrate success</i> • <i>It is clear how metrics will show that the project achieved its objectives</i> • <i>There is a clear plan for monitoring and/or reporting</i> <p>Planning projects should include discussion of how success will be measured. Development of a monitoring program should be included as part of the project planning, including preliminary identification of what would be monitored to assess success of the future project and how monitoring will be implemented. Planning projects should also include identification of how to measure success of the plan.</p>	
The extent to which the project employs innovative or appropriate technology or practices.	5
The extent to which the project will deliver sustainable outcomes in the long-term.	5
	100
Bonus Points	
Matching funds >100%	5

VI. Additional Information

A. Available Funding

The Conservancy expects to have about \$3 million in funding available for Central California and \$2 million in funding available for Southern California.

B. Additional Project Considerations

Agencies acquiring land may use the Natural Heritage Preservation Tax Credit Act of 2000 (Division 28 of the Public Resources Code. Water Code Section 79711(h)).

Where appropriate, grantees will be required to provide signage informing the public that the project received Proposition 1 funding. This requirement will be addressed in the grant agreement.

In administering Proposition 1 funds, the Conservancy will take into account the state’s policy that every human being has a right to “safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes” (Water Code Sec. 106.3).

C. Typical Grant Agreement Terms

Once the Conservancy has approved a grant at a public meeting, Conservancy staff will prepare a grant agreement setting forth the terms and conditions of the grant. The grantee must sign

the grant agreement and comply with all its conditions in order to receive funds. The typical terms of a Conservancy grant agreement can be found at this [link](#) and found below. Preparation and finalization of a Grant Agreement usually takes at least three weeks. Five copies of the Grant Agreement are sent to the grantee for signatures, and all five must be sent back to the Conservancy. The Executive Officer signs each copy and one fully executed copy is sent back to the grantee.

It is important that the person administering the project for the grantee be familiar with the procedures and requirements of the Grant Agreement. There are several steps and requirements for grantees receiving Coastal Conservancy funding. To help prospective grantees understand the process, listed below are the typical requirements for receiving funds from the State Coastal Conservancy. It may be useful for the grantee to arrange a meeting with the Conservancy Project Manager early in the project to review the Grant Agreement conditions.

The Grant Agreement describes these and other requirements in greater detail and will be the controlling document. If there are any questions about the Grant Agreement, discuss them with the Conservancy Project Manager. ***Close review of and compliance with the Grant Agreement is essential and is the grantee's responsibility.***

Typical Conditions and Requirements of Conservancy Grants

- The Conservancy usually limits overhead to 15% of the total grant amount.
- Expenses incurred before the Grant Agreement with the Conservancy is executed cannot be billed to the grant.
- All Conservancy grantees should expect to be audited by the State of California. It is the grantees responsibility to maintain all necessary records to substantiate and document all payments made pursuant to a Conservancy grant. If a grantee cannot provide adequate records when they are audited, they may be required to repay grant funds.
- The Conservancy typically reimburses grantees for expenses after they are incurred. This means the grantee will have to cover the costs of the project between the time the expenses are incurred and when they get paid by the Conservancy. It typically takes about 6 weeks between the time an invoice is received at the Conservancy and the payment is received by the grantee.
- 5% of each payment is typically withheld until the project has been completed.
- Grantees are typically required to maintain general liability, automobile, and other forms of insurance during the term of the grant agreement. The Conservancy usually requires a minimum of \$2 million in general liability insurance.
- Bonding is typically required for 100% of construction subcontractor costs for contracts over \$20,000.
- Grantees are typically responsible for operation, maintenance and monitoring of completed projects for 20 years.

- Grants to nonprofit organizations for work on property not owned by the nonprofit require an agreement between the landowner, the nonprofit and the Conservancy to protect the public interest in the project.
- Conservancy grants will typically require that federally-recognized Native American tribes provide a limited waiver of tribal sovereignty to allow for enforcement of Conservancy grant terms.
- Grantees may be required to pay prevailing wages for their project in compliance with the Labor Code. General information on prevailing wage requirements can be provided upon request.
- For wetland and watershed restoration and protection projects, applicants will be required to conduct a baseline report utilizing the California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) within the year prior to project construction, unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by the Conservancy and the grantee (<http://www.cramwetlands.org/>). Applicants will also be required to provide a plan for Completion of Post-Construction CRAM Assessment, including a budget and timeline for the collection of at least one CRAM assessment following construction of the project and prior to the completion date of the grant agreement in order to document the change in wetland condition at the project site. Costs associated with CRAM assessment should be included in the proposed project budget.