MEMBERS PRESENT
Ann Notthoff, Public Member
Mary Shallenberger, Chair, Coastal Commission
Bryan Cash (Designated Representative, Natural Resources Agency)
Karen Finn (Designated Representative, Department of Finance)

OVERSIGHT MEMBERS PRESENT
No oversight members were in attendance

OTHERS PRESENT
Sam Schuchat, Executive Officer
Elena Eger, Legal Counsel

1. ROLL CALL
Kathy Long, Supervisor, Ventura County welcomed the Conservancy to Ventura.
Carmen Ramirez, City of Oxnard Council Member spoke…..

2. APPROVAL OF Minutes of January 19, 2012 Conservancy Meeting
Moved and seconded. January minutes approved by vote of 4-0.

3. CONSENT ITEMS
A. ALBANY BEACH
Resolution:
“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes the disbursement of $150,000 (one hundred fifty thousand dollars) to East Bay Regional Park District (“District”) to complete design, permit applications and environmental analysis for improvements and restoration at Albany Beach, Alameda County, subject to the following condition:
Prior to disbursement of any funds under this authorization, the District shall submit the following for the review and written approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy:
1. A detailed work plan for the project components, including a final budget and schedule.

2. The names and qualifications of any contractors to be retained to carry out the project components.

3. Evidence that the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission has found that the project qualifies for funding from the California Department of Transportation mitigation fund held by the Conservancy.”

Findings:
“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.

2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the purposes and objectives of Section 31111, regarding feasibility and planning studies, and 31160-31165 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding the enhancement and restoration of wetlands and adjacent uplands in the San Francisco Bay Area.”

B. HISTORIC SHIP SAN SALVADOR

Resolution:
“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby amends its September 20, 2007 grant to the San Diego Maritime Museum Association for the construction of a replica of the historic ship San Salvador as follows:

The Association shall repay to the Conservancy $500,000 of the grant amount, together with interest accruing on the outstanding balance at four percent (4.0%) per year, beginning on the date of disbursement of the funds to the grantee. The full amount, including interest, shall be repaid no later than two years following completion of the project, or by December 31, 2015, whichever is earlier.”

Findings:
“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that, except with respect to the terms of repayment, the project remains consistent with the Conservancy’s action of September 20, 2007 (Exhibit 2 to the accompanying staff recommendation).”
C. **BIO-RAD BAY TRAIL**

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to disburse up to $198,000 (one hundred ninety-eight thousand dollars) of previously granted Conservancy funds to the East Bay Regional Park District to construct .53 miles of San Francisco Bay Trail in the City of Hercules, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the disbursement of funds, ABAG shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy a final work program, schedule and budget, and a grant agreement between ABAG and the East Bay Regional Park District.

2. ABAG shall ensure installation of signs acknowledging the Conservancy and displaying the Conservancy logo in a manner approved by the Executive Officer.

3. In carrying out the project, ABAG shall ensure that the East Bay Regional Park District complies with all project actions, components, and mitigation measures that are needed to reduce or avoid significant environmental effects of the proposed project as identified in the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Hercules Intermodal Transit Center certified by the City of Hercules on August 9, 2011 pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and accompanying the project staff recommendation as Exhibit 3.

4. ABAG shall provide documentation during the course of the project that the required project actions, components and mitigation measures have been implemented.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.

2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the purposes and objectives of the San Francisco Bay Area Conservancy Program, Chapter 4.5 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, Sections 31160-31165.

3. The Conservancy has independently reviewed the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Hercules Intermodal Transit Center certified by the City of Hercules on August 9, 2011 pursuant to CEQA. With the exception of construction noise impacts, there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project with the identified measures to avoid, reduce or mitigate the possible significant environmental effects, will have a significant effect on the environment.
4. Construction of the proposed project may have a significant, unavoidable noise impact on nearby residential communities.

5. The environmental and social benefits of the project as described in detail in the staff recommendation outweigh its unavoidable adverse environmental effects. Therefore, the Conservancy adopts the Statement of Overriding Considerations set forth in this staff report.”

D. BAY AREA AG SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes disbursement of an amount not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) to the American Farmland Trust to complete the Bay Area Agricultural Sustainability Plan to foster local, sustainable agriculture, subject to the condition that prior to the disbursement of any Conservancy funds the American Farmland Trust shall submit for the review and written approval of the Conservancy’s Executive Officer a detailed work program, budget and schedule; and the names and qualifications of any contractors and subcontractors that it intends to employ.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.
2. The Bay Area Sustainable Agriculture project is consistent with the purposes and criteria set forth in Division 21 of the Public Resources Code regarding the Conservancy’s mandate to address the resource and recreation goals of the San Francisco Bay Area, and with the authority of the Conservancy under Chapter 4.5 regarding the award of grants to non-profit organizations for these purposes.
3. American Farmland Trust is a nonprofit organization existing under Section 501(c)(3) of the United States Internal Revenue Code, whose purposes are consistent with Division 21 of the California Public Resources Code.”

E. WHEELCHAIR RIDER’S ONLINE COASTAL GUIDE PHASE 2

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes disbursement of an amount not to exceed one hundred twenty-five thousand dollars ($125,000) to Access Northern California to prepare an internet-based guide to coastal sites accessible by wheelchair. Prior to disbursement of Conservancy funds for this project, Access Northern California
shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Officer the names and qualifications of any contractors proposed to be hired, a project work program, schedule and budget, and a proposal for acknowledging the Conservancy’s assistance in developing the guide.”

Findings:
“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed authorization is consistent with Public Resources Code Sections 31400-31409.

2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the Conservancy’s Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.

3. Access Northern California is nonprofit organization existing under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, and whose purposes, which include providing people with disabilities information about wheelchair accessible travel destinations, are consistent with Division 21 of the Public Resources Code.”

F. LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT RETAINER

Resolution:
“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes the disbursement to Bionic, a landscape architecture firm, of an amount not to exceed sixty thousand dollars ($60,000) to augment a previously approved contract in the amount of two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000) for the purpose of providing landscape architectural services on an as-needed basis for Conservancy projects. Prior to initiating work on any individual project, Contractor shall obtain written approval from Conservancy staff authorizing the scope and budget for that project.”

Findings:
“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.

2. The proposed authorization is consistent with Public Resources Code Section 31111, which authorizes the Conservancy to undertake project planning and feasibility studies and to assist projects that carry out the objectives of the Conservancy’s enabling legislation.”
G. ELLWOOD MESA COASTAL TRAIL

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes the disbursement of up to $100,000 (one hundred thousand dollars) to the Santa Barbara Trails Council (Trails Council) to prepare design, engineering, environmental compliance and permit application documents for a segment of the California Coastal Trail in Santa Barbara County, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the commencement of work and the disbursement of funds, the Trails Council shall submit for the review and written approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy a detailed work program, schedule and budget, and the names and qualifications of any contractors to be employed in carrying out the project and evidence that the Trails Council shall provide all remaining funds needed to complete the study.

2. To the extent appropriate, the Trails Council shall ensure that the final designs of project improvements are consistent with the Conservancy’s ‘Standards and Recommendations for Accessway Location and Development’ and all applicable federal and state statutes, regulations and guidelines for access for people with disabilities.

3. The Trails Council shall submit to the Conservancy’s Executive Officer written evidence that the City of Goleta agrees to work with the Trails Council to implement this project and to post California Coastal Trail signs along existing segments of the Coastal Trail within its jurisdiction.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines, last updated by the Conservancy on November 10, 2011.

2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the purposes and objectives of Chapter 9 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding public access and with Section 31111 of the Public Resources Code regarding feasibility and planning studies.
3. The project will serve greater than local need.

4. Santa Barbara Trails Council is a nonprofit organization existing under Section 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, and whose purposes are consistent with Division 21 of the Public Resources Code.”

H. LIVING SHORELINES PROJECT

Resolution:

The State Coastal Conservancy hereby modifies its August 5, 2010 and December 2, 2010 authorizations to implement the Living Shorelines project, as described in the attached staff recommendation, by revising the scope of implementation activities and by authorizing an additional disbursement of up to five hundred fifty thousand dollars ($550,000), three hundred thousand of which are grant funds received from the Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB), to the California Wildlife Foundation (CWF) to construct two pilot projects in San Francisco Bay on the San Rafael Shoreline in Marin County and offshore from Eden Landing Ecological Reserve in Hayward in Alameda County.

This authorization is subject to the condition that prior to Conservancy disbursement of funds:

1. CWF shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Officer a work program, including a budget and schedule, and the names of any subcontractors to be retained for project work.

2. The existing Memorandum of Understanding between the Conservancy and WCB has been amended so that it authorizes the Living Shorelines as an approved, high priority project under WCB Agreement No. WC-3-32BT, describes the budget and additional work to be performed, and provides for reimbursement of the Conservancy’s expenditures for the portion of the project to be undertaken by CWF.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project remains consistent with Chapter 4.5 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding the resource goals of the San Francisco Bay Area Conservancy Program.

2. The proposed project remains consistent with the Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines adopted on November 10, 2011.

3. The California Wildlife Foundation is a nonprofit organization existing under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, whose purposes are consistent with Division 21 of the Public Resources Code.”

Moved and seconded. Approved by a vote of 4-0.
4. **EXECUTIVE OFFICER REPORT**

   A. Executive officer gave the board a memorandum with a timeline and outline for their consideration of the Conservancy’s process for a new Strategic plan.

   B. The Ocean Protection Council Strategic plan is in place. They are in the process of looking for candidates to replace Amber Mace and Brian Baird.

   C. The March Legislative report was distributed to the members

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

5. **LAS TUNAS BEACH**

   Joan Cardellino of the Coastal Conservancy presented the Staff Recommendation.

   Speaking in favor of the Staff Recommendation: Walt Young, Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Norm Haynie, representing the three owners.

   Resolution:

   “The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes disbursement of up to two million nine hundred twenty thousand dollars ($2,920,000) to the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) to acquire five contiguous, undeveloped coastal lots in Malibu (Los Angeles County Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 4449-007-013 through -017) for the purpose of providing public access and recreation, subject to the following conditions:

   1. Prior to the disbursement of funds for acquisition, MRCA shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy all relevant acquisition documents, including, but not limited to, appraisal(s), environmental assessments, agreement of purchase and sale, escrow instructions, and documents of title necessary to the acquisition.

   2. MRCA shall pay no more than fair market value for the property.

   3. MRCA shall permanently dedicate the property for open space preservation and public access and recreation in a manner acceptable to the Executive Officer.

   4. MRCA shall acknowledge Conservancy funding by erecting and maintaining on the property a sign that has been reviewed and approved by the Executive Officer.”
Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.
2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the purposes and objectives of Chapter 9 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding a system of coastal accessways.
3. The proposed project will serve greater than local needs.”

Moved and seconded. Approved by a vote of 4-0.

6. HISTORICAL ECLOGY STUDY OF THE TIJUANA RIVER AND ESTUARY

Karen Bane of the Coastal Conservancy presented the Staff Recommendation.

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes the disbursement of up to four hundred forty thousand dollars ($440,000) to the San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI) to prepare the Historical Ecology Study (the Study) of the Tijuana River and Estuary. Prior to the disbursement of any Conservancy funds, SFEI shall submit for review and approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy a work program and budget, and the names and qualifications of any contractors that it intends to employ in the Study.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.
2. The proposed project is consistent with Chapter 6 Sections 31251-31270 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding enhancement of coastal resources, and with Section 31111 of the Public Resources Code regarding preparation of plans and feasibility studies.
3. The proposed project is identified in several Local Coastal Programs as requiring action to resolve existing or potential resource protection problems.
4. The San Francisco Estuary Institute is a nonprofit organization existing under section 501(c)(3) of the United States Internal Revenue Code, and whose purposes are consistent with Division 21 of the Public Resources Code.”

Moved and seconded. Approved by a vote of 4-0.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA

7. BART: EL CORTE DE MADERA CREEK

Deborah Hirst of the Coastal Conservancy presented the Staff Recommendation.

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby authorizes disbursement of an amount not to exceed two hundred eighty-two thousand dollars ($282,000) to the Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District (MPROSD) to construct staging area and trail improvements to the San Francisco Bay Area Ridge Trail at El Corte de Madera Creek Open Space Preserve in San Mateo County, subject to the following conditions:

A. Prior to the disbursement of Conservancy funds for construction, MPROSD shall:

1. Submit for the review and approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy:
   a. Evidence that the MPROSD has obtained all necessary permits and approvals.
   b. A signing plan for the project acknowledging Conservancy participation and identifying the trail segments as part of the San Francisco Bay Area Ridge Trail system, as applicable.
   c. A final work plan (including the names of any contractors to be used in the completion of the project), and a project schedule and budget.
2. Evidence that it has entered into an agreement or agreements with the Conservancy and the landowner sufficient to protect the public interest in the project and to provide public access to the project site.

B. The MPROSD shall implement the Mitigation Monitoring Program, adopted by it on February 10, 2010, and as further modified in its Addendum dated March 14, 2012 and attached to the accompanying staff recommendation as Exhibit 4.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:
The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.

2. The proposed project is consistent with the authority of the Conservancy under Public Resources Code Sections 31160-31165 regarding projects of the San Francisco Bay Area Conservancy Program.

3. That the proposed project, as mitigated, will not have a significant effect on the environment, as defined in 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15064. ”

Moved and seconded. Approved by a vote of 4-0.

NORTH COAST

8. BARBONI RANCH

Su Corbaley of the Coastal Conservancy presented the Staff Recommendation.

Speaking in favor of the Staff Recommendation: Jeff Stump, Marin Agricultural Land Trust.

Resolution:

“The State Coastal Conservancy hereby modifies its May 27, 2010 authorization for the disbursement of one million dollars ($1,000,000) to the Marin Agricultural Land Trust (MALT) for the acquisition of a conservation easement over the Corda Ranch, by permitting the use of those funds by MALT for the acquisition of two separate conservation easements, collectively restricting the use of the entire Barboni Ranch in Marin County (APN Nos. 125-020-11, -12, -13, -14), as shown on Exhibit 1 to the accompanying staff recommendation.

The modified authorization is subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the expenditure of any Conservancy funds for the acquisition, MALT shall submit for review and approval of the Executive Officer of the Conservancy (the “Executive Officer”):
   a. All relevant acquisition documents, including, without limitation, appraisals, purchase agreements, conservation easements, environmental assessments, escrow instructions and documents of title.
   b. A Baseline Conditions Report certified by the grantor and a Monitoring and Reporting Plan.
   c. Documentation that all other funds necessary to the acquisition have been obtained.

2. If acquisition of the two conservation easements over the Barboni Ranch property is to occur in two separate phases, MALT may expend the Conservancy funds for
acquisition of one of the two conservation easements, covering a portion of the Barboni Ranch property, provided that MALT and the property owner have executed an instrument, approved by the Executive Officer as to substance and form, by which the parties agree that MALT shall have the irrevocable right to purchase a conservation easement over the remainder of the property under specified terms.

3. The purchase price of the conservation easements shall not exceed fair market value, as established in an appraisal approved by the Executive Officer.

4. The easement interests acquired under this authorization shall be managed and operated in a manner consistent with the purpose of agricultural conservation, open space preservation and natural resource protection. The property interests acquired under this authorization shall be permanently dedicated to those purposes in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 31116(b).

5. Conservancy funding shall be acknowledged by erecting and maintaining on the property a sign, the design and placement of which has been reviewed and approved by the Executive Officer.”

Findings:

“Based on the accompanying staff report and attached exhibits, the State Coastal Conservancy hereby finds that:
1. The proposed project is consistent with the current Project Selection Criteria and Guidelines.
2. The proposed authorization is consistent with the purposes and objectives of Chapter 4.5 of Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, regarding the preservation of agricultural lands.
3. The Marin Agricultural Land Trust is a nonprofit organization existing under Section 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, whose purposes, which include the preservation of land for agricultural and open space uses, are consistent with Division 21 of the Public Resources Code.”

Moved and seconded. Approved by a vote of 4-0.

9. FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION PROJECT ON THE RUSSIAN RIVER

This item was removed from the agenda.

10. CONSERVANCY MEMBER COMMENTS:

There were no Conservancy comments

11. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS
Patricia McPherson, Grassroots Coalition spoke about Ballona Wetlands Restoration Staff Recommendation from the January 19, 2012 Public meeting.

Kathy Knight, Sierra Club, requesting a meeting with the Conservancy.

John Davis distributed materials to the board

William Terry

Steve Nash, Oxnard resident, support for Ormond Restoration

Linda Calderon spoke about Ormond Beach Gateway Park

Alan Sanders, Ormond Beach Observers

Jim Hensley, distributed letter to the board regarding Ormond Wetlands

12. CLOSED SESSION

13. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 12:00 pm
**AB 1463**  
*(Blumenfield D)*  
2012-13 Budget.  
Introduced: 1/10/2012  
Status: 3/12/2012-Introduced. Read first time. Referred to Com. on B. & F.R.  
Location: 1/10/2012-S. BUDGET & F.R.  
Summary: This bill would make appropriations for support of state government for the 2012-13 fiscal year. This bill contains other related provisions.

**AB 2136**  
*(Jones R)*  
Conservation land: acquisition agencies.  
Introduced: 2/23/2012  
Status: 2/24/2012-From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.  
Location: 2/23/2012-A. PRINT  
Summary: Existing law authorizes various agencies to acquire land for purposes related to conservation. Existing law requires an acquisition agency, as defined, prior to approving the acquisition of conservation land, as defined, if an agency proposes to spend more than $25,000,000 of state funds, to contract for at least one independent appraisal of the fair market value of the land and requires the independent appraisal to be conducted by a qualified member of the Appraisal Institute pursuant to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to these provisions.

**AB 2211**  
*(Jones R)*  
Coastal resources: California Coastal Act of 1976: goals and legislative findings and declarations.  
Introduced: 2/24/2012  
Status: 3/12/2012-Introduced. Read first time. Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.  
Location: 3/12/2012-A. NAT. RES.  
Summary: The California Coastal Act of 1976 provides for the planning and regulation of development, under a coastal development permit process, within the coastal zone, as defined. The act makes legislative findings and declarations regarding the resolution of conflicts under the act and declares that the basic goals of the state include, among other things, assuring the orderly, balanced utilization and conservation of coastal zone resources, taking into account the social and economic needs of the people of the state. The act also specifies that the Legislature declares that, in carrying out the provisions of the act, conflicts be resolved in a manner that, on balance, is the most protective of significant coastal resources. This bill would revise the above-described goal to specify that "social and economic needs" includes both the infrastructure and development that are needed to support the continued economic and population growth of the state. The bill would instead specify that the Legislature declares that, in carrying out the provisions of the act, conflicts be resolved in a manner that balances the protection of significant coastal resources with the economic and social benefits provided by a proposed coastal development project to the community at large, which includes, but is not limited to, the economic prosperity of the region.

**SB 957**  
*(Leno D)*  
2012-13 Budget.  
Introduced: 1/10/2012  
Status: 1/10/2012-Introduced. Read first time. Referred to Com. on B. & F.R.  
Location: 1/10/2012-S. BUDGET & F.R.  
Summary: This bill would make appropriations for support of state government for the 2012-13 fiscal year. This bill contains other related provisions.

**SB 1066**  
*(Lieu D)*  
Coastal resources: climate change.  
Introduced: 2/13/2012  
Status: 3/1/2012-Introduced. Read first time. Referred to Com. on RLS.  
Location: 3/1/2012-S. RLS.  
Summary: Existing law establishes the State Coastal Conservancy, which serves as a repository for coastal lands. Existing law authorizes the conservancy to, among other things, undertake projects and award grants for the purposes of restoration of areas of the coastal zone that are adversely...
affecting the coastal environment or are impending orderly development. This bill would authorize the
conservancy to fund and undertake projects to address climate change, giving priority to projects that
maximize public benefits.

**SB 1252**  (Rubio D) Urban Waterfront Area Restoration Financing Authority Act. 
Introduced: 2/23/2012
**Status:** 3/8/2012- Referred to Com. on RLS.
**Location:** 3/8/2012-S. RLS.
**Summary:** The Urban Waterfront aREA Restoration Financing Act Authority creates in state
government the California Urban Waterfront Area Restoration Financing Authority, consisting of
specified members, and prescribes the functions and duties of the authority with regard to the
implementation of an economically feasible method of financing urban waterfront restoration. The act
provides for the issuance of bonds to finance the activities of the authority relating to urban
waterfront restoration, but prohibits the total amount of bonds that may be outstanding at any one
time under the act from exceeding $650,000,000. The act further specifies that the authority is not
required to pay any property taxes or assessments upon, or with respect to, an urban waterfront
restoration project or any property acquired by or for the authority under the act or upon the income
from those bonds, so long as the authority holds title to the project, or to the property or facilities
comprised in the project. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes these provisions.

**SB 1266**  (Corbett D) Resource conservation lands: appraisal process.
Introduced: 2/23/2012
**Status:** 3/23/2012- Set for hearing April 10.
**Location:** 3/8/2012-S. N.R. & W.
**Calendar:** 4/10/2012 9:30 a.m. - Room 112 SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER, PAVLEY, Chair
**Summary:** Existing law authorizes various state agencies to acquire land for purposes related to
conservation, and requires an acquisition agency, as defined, where an agency proposes to spend
more than $25,000,000 of state funds, to contract for at least one independent appraisal of the fair
market value of the land. Existing law requires the Department of General Services, prior to any action
by an acquisition agency to approve a major acquisition of conservation lands, to contract for at least
one independent appraisal of the fair market value of the land. Existing law further requires the
department to convene a workgroup to develop and adopt standards, subject to the approval of the
Natural Resources Agency, with respect to the acquisition of conservation lands concerning the
appraisal process, availability of appraisal information, and valuation for purposes of a charitable
contribution, as prescribed. This bill would repeal those provisions requiring the department to
convene a workgroup and would instead require that, in addition to any other requirements or
standards prescribed by law, appraisal reports prepared for the acquisition of any land or interest
therein by or with funding from an acquisition agency, as defined, include specified information, and
meet specified requirements to be considered for appraisal review by the state.

**SB 1283**  (Alquist D) San Francisco Bay Area Sea Level Rise Planning Act.
Introduced: 2/23/2012
**Status:** 3/23/2012- Set for hearing April 10.
**Location:** 3/8/2012-S. N.R. & W.
**Calendar:** 4/10/2012 9:30 a.m. - Room 112 SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER, PAVLEY, Chair
**Summary:** Existing law, including the California Coastal Act of 1976, provides for the planning and
regulation of development and use of coastal resources in the state. This bill would establish the San
Francisco Bay Area Sea Level Rise Planning Act, which would authorize a regional sea level rise
management group, as defined, or local government agency to prepare and adopt an integrated sea
level rise management plan for the San Francisco Bay area, in accordance with specified requirements.
The bill would require a state agency that elects to develop an integrated sea level management plan
to include specified criteria in that plan, and to prioritize funding for the plan, as prescribed.

**SJR 17**  (Corbett D) Coastal resources: San Francisco Bay.
Introduced: 2/13/2012
**Status:** 3/20/2012- Set for hearing March 27.
**Location:** 3/1/2012-S. N.R. & W.
**Calendar:** 3/27/2012 9 a.m. - Room 112 9 A.M. - 9:45 A.M. SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES AND WATER, PAVLEY, Chair
**Summary:** This measure would declare the Legislature's endorsement of S. 97 and H.R. 3034, and
would urge the United States Congress to enact the San Francisco Restoration Act at the earliest
possible time.

**Total Measures:** 9
**Total Tracking Forms:** 9