

State Coastal Conservancy August 2012 Legislative Report

[**AB 1656**](#)

(Fong D) San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority.

Last Amend: 7/3/2012

Status: 7/6/2012-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Location: 7/6/2012-S. THIRD READING

Summary: The San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority Act establishes the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority to raise and allocate resources for the restoration, enhancement, protection, and enjoyment of wetlands and wildlife habitat in the San Francisco Bay and along its shoreline. Existing law repeals the act on January 1, 2029. This bill would revise that definition of the East Bay, for purposes of that appointment, to provide that it consists of the whole Contra Costa County, as well as that specified portion of Alameda County, excluding the Delta primary zone, as defined. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

06/28/2012 SEN. GOV. & F. (Y: 6 N: 3 A: 0) (P)

05/29/2012 ASM. FLOOR (Y: 51 N: 25 A: 4) (P)

05/25/2012 ASM. APPR. (Y: 12 N: 5 A: 0) (P)

04/11/2012 ASM. L. GOV. (Y: 9 N: 0 A: 0) (P)

03/26/2012 ASM. NAT. RES. (Y: 6 N: 3 A: 0) (P)

[**AB 2226**](#)

(Hueso D) Agency proceedings: evidence: presumption.

Last Amend: 3/22/2012

Status: 7/6/2012-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(13). (Last location was A. JUD. on 6/20/2012)

Location: 7/6/2012-A. DEAD

Summary: The Administrative Procedure Act governs the conduct of formal and informal proceedings before state agencies, as defined. Existing law specifies that in proceedings and hearings before a court, a presumption exists that the owner of the legal title to property is presumed to be the owner of the full beneficial title. This bill would require a state agency, as defined, and a city, county, or city and county to apply that presumption in proceedings before that state agency, city, county, or city and county.

Vote Events:

06/19/2012 SEN. JUD. (Y: 2 N: 3 A: 0) (F)

04/26/2012 ASM. FLOOR (Y: 53 N: 11 A: 16) (P)

04/17/2012 ASM. B.,P. & C.P. (Y: 6 N: 1 A: 2) (P)

[**SB 1066**](#)

(Lieu D) Coastal resources: climate change.

Last Amend: 6/27/2012

Status: 6/27/2012-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Location: 6/27/2012-A. APPR.

Summary: Existing law establishes the State Coastal Conservancy, which serves as a repository for coastal lands. Existing law authorizes the conservancy to, among other things, undertake projects and award grants for the purposes of restoration of areas of the coastal zone that are adversely affecting the coastal environment or are impeding orderly development. This bill would authorize the conservancy to fund and undertake projects to address climate change on resources within its jurisdiction , giving priority to projects that maximize public benefits.

Vote Events:

06/18/2012 ASM. NAT. RES. (Y: 6 N: 3 A: 0) (P)

05/30/2012 SEN. FLOOR (Y: 25 N: 13 A: 2) (P)

05/24/2012 SEN. APPR. (Y: 5 N: 2 A: 0) (P)

04/30/2012 SEN. APPR. (Y: 7 N: 0 A: 0) (P)

04/10/2012 SEN. N.R. & W. (Y: 6 N: 2 A: 1) (P)

[**SB 1266**](#)

(Corbett D) Resource conservation lands: appraisal process.

Last Amend: 5/25/2012

Status: 6/19/2012-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Location: 6/19/2012-A. APPR.

Summary: Existing law authorizes various state agencies to acquire land for purposes related to conservation, and requires an acquisition agency, as defined, prior to any action by the acquisition agency to approve a major acquisition of conservation lands, to contract for at least one independent appraisal of the fair market value of the land. A "major acquisition" is defined as an acquisition for which an agency proposes to spend more than \$25,000,000 of state funds. This bill would redefine major acquisition as an acquisition for which an agency proposes to spend more than \$15,000,000 of state funds. The bill would also require that, if more than \$1,000,000 of state funds are proposed for expenditure or grant by an acquisition agency of conservation lands, including major acquisitions, the acquisition agency or the project partner, as defined, shall contract for an appraisal. The bill would

require that the appraisal meet specified requirements. The bill would also allow the project partner or landowner to contribute to the cost of the appraisal, be identified as a user of the appraisal, and be named as the coclient of the appraiser or firm preparing the appraisal except, on and after January 1, 2015, the bill would prohibit the landowner from being named as a coclient of the appraiser or firm preparing the appraisal. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

06/19/2012 ASM. B.,P. & C.P. (Y: 9 N:0 A:0) (P)
06/12/2012 ASM. W.,P. & W. (Y:11 N:0 A:2) (P)
05/29/2012 SEN. FLOOR (Y: 31 N:8 A:1) (P)
05/24/2012 SEN. APPR. (Y: 5 N:2 A:0) (P)
04/30/2012 SEN. APPR. (Y: 7 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/10/2012 SEN. N.R. & W. (Y: 6 N:2 A:1) (P)

[**SCR 84**](#)

(Kehoe D) California Coastal Protection Week.

Last Amend: 6/19/2012

Status: 6/28/2012-From committee: Be adopted. Ordered to third reading. (Ayes 7. Noes 4.) (June 28).

Location: 6/28/2012-A. THIRD READING

Summary: This measure would designate the week of September 8 through September 15, 2012, and the 2nd week of September every year thereafter as California Coastal Protection Week and would urge all Californians to observe that week as California Coastal Protection Week.

Vote Events:

06/28/2012 ASM. RLS. (Y: 7 N:4 A:0) (P)
05/14/2012 SEN. FLOOR (Y: 26 N:8 A:6) (P)

Total Measures: 5

Total Tracking Forms: 5